

**FORMAL REQUEST FOR REFERRAL TO  
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO: Hon. Jeff Sessions  
Office of the Attorney General  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington 20530-0001  
District of Columbia, USA

FROM: Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party;  
Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: [18 U.S.C. 1964](#); and,  
Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),  
Federal Civil False Claims Act: [31 U.S.C. 3729](#) et seq.

DATE: April 14, 2017 A.D.

SUBJECT: Administrative Tort Claim to the Executive Office for  
United States Attorneys (hereinafter "[EOUSA Tort Claim](#)")

Greetings Attorney General Sessions:

I am writing specifically to request formal referral of my pending [EOUSA Tort Claim](#) to the most appropriate alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") option, as fully authorized by Acts of Congress including but not limited to [28 U.S.C. section 2672](#) (*to settle any tort claim against the United States*).

I also write to make a record of facts which call for the conclusion that my **EOUSA Tort Claim has been and continues to be obstructed**, resulting in further tortious damages to my good name and estate.

Very briefly, after submitting a series of FOIA Requests, beginning October 2012, for the credentials required of certain personnel claiming to be U.S. Attorneys and Assistant U.S. Attorneys, all such personnel turned up with missing and fatally defective credentials.

The attached [documents](#) constitute material evidence that credentials required by the [Oath of Office Clause](#) in the U.S. Constitution and by [Acts of Congress](#) which have implemented that Clause, have turned up either missing or fatally defective for [Christopher A. Crofts](#), [L. Robert Murray](#), [Sarah Y. Vogel](#), [Lois G. Lerner](#) and [Monty Wilkinson](#).

In particular, Monty Wilkinson has either failed or refused to disclose his APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVITS and APPOINTMENT LETTER appointing him to the Office of Director of the [EOUSA](#). As such, he lacked any and all authority to make any decisions whatsoever concerning my timely [Federal Tort Claim](#) as received by the EOUSA on July 11, 2016.

As such, the latter hirelings are now suspected of committing multiple acts of mail fraud, impersonation and racketeering, in violation of the Federal criminal statutes at [18 U.S.C. 1341](#), [912](#) and [1962](#), respectively. Please know that mail fraud is also defined as a RICO "predicate act" at [18 U.S.C. 1961](#); and, any two (2) such predicate acts are defined as a pattern of racketeering activity at [1961\(5\)](#).

In order to minimize unnecessary postage expenses and printing costs, please feel free to access the Internet versions of all relevant [documents](#), which are easily accessible at their corresponding URLs.

### **Executive Recommendation**

Given the painfully obvious delays and well documented obstructions which have now occurred with all five (5) of my pending [Federal Tort Claims](#) (U.S. DOJ Standard Forms 95), I now believe that arbitration, mediation or other alternative dispute resolution technique should be authorized for my [EOUSA Tort Claim](#), in a prompt delegation from the Attorney General to a fair and impartial "neutral" as presently defined by Act of Congress at [5 U.S.C. 573](#).

We also take this opportunity to object to any and all DOJ policy(s) which presently require formal litigation to be commenced BEFORE any ADR options can be invoked.

In our professional opinion, **such policies appear to defeat the stated intent of Congress to provide damaged parties with practical alternatives to expensive and time-consuming litigation.**

In this context, please refer in particular to the Congressional Findings as stated in the [Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996](#), Public Law 104-320, 110 Stat. 3870, October 19, 1996, to wit:

*The Congress finds that --*

*(1) administrative procedure ... is intended to offer a prompt, expert, and inexpensive means of resolving disputes as **an alternative to litigation in the Federal courts** ....*

[bold emphasis added]

Thank you very much, Attorney General Sessions, for your prompt decision to refer this matter to the most appropriate ADR option, pursuant to the intent of Congress expressed at [28 U.S.C. 2672](#) and in the [Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996](#) *supra*.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell

Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party;  
Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: [18 U.S.C. 1964](#); and,  
Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),  
Federal Civil False Claims Act: [31 U.S.C. 3729](#) *et seq.*

**All Rights Reserved** (cf. UCC 1-308  
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-308>)

Cc: Trustee, Estate of Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.

Enclosures:

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/three.principals.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/usps.tracking.9505515456176187003664.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/SF-95.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/crofts/foia.request.1.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/crofts/letter.2013-02-12/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/crofts/letter.2013-02-12/affidavit.refused.jpg>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/crofts/letter.2013-02-12/affidavit.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/crofts/letter.2013-02-12/commission.refused.jpg>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/crofts/letter.2013-02-12/commission.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/foia.request.1.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/letter.2013-01-11/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/letter.2013-01-11/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/letter.2013-01-11/affidavit.1.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/letter.2013-01-11/affidavit.2.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/letter.2013-04-30/Notice.of.Errors.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/letter.2013-04-22/page01.refused.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/murray/letter.2013-04-22/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/pleading.07/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/letter.2015-09-30/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/letter.2015-09-30/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/letter.2015-09-30/affidavit.refused.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/letter.2015-09-30/affidavit.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/letter.2015-12-18/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/letter.2015-12-18/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/vogel/foia.appeal.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/foia.request.2.lerner.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/letter.2017-02-07/refusal.for.fraud.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/letter.2017-02-07/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/letter.2017-02-07/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/foia.request.lerner.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/letter.2016-12-08/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/letter.2016-11-09/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/letter.2016-11-09/affidavit.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim/lerner/letter.2016-11-09/appointment.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/foia.request.wilkinson.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/nad.affidavit.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/affidavit.refused.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/affidavit.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/letter.2017-02-22/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/letter.2017-02-22/page02.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/letter.2017-02-07/refusal.for.fraud.htm>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/letter.2017-02-07/page01.gif>

<http://www.supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.eousa/wilkinson/letter.2017-02-07/page02.gif>