

NOTICE OF REFUSAL FOR CAUSES

TO: E. Lee Patton
IRS Office of Chief Counsel
1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 6404
Washington 20224
District of Columbia, USA

FROM: Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party;
Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: [18 U.S.C. 1964](#); and,
Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),
Federal Civil False Claims Act: [31 U.S.C. 3729](#) et seq.

DATE: July 25, 2017 A.D.

SUBJECT: Administrative Tort Claim to IRS Chief Counsel #17-037
(hereinafter "**IRS Tort Claim**")

Mr. Patton:

Your second ridiculous letter also date-stamped July 17, 2017, is hereby refused for reasons including but not limited to the following:

- (1) my IRS Tort Claim to the IRS Office of Chief Counsel was signed on **July 1, 2016**, and mailed via Priority U.S. Mail on **July 5, 2016**, because of the long holiday weekend;
- (2) USPS tracking number 9505515456176187003688 was delivered to the Mail Room at Zip Code 20224 on **July 11, 2016** at 6:59 AM; as such, your letter is patently incorrect where it falsely alleges that my IRS Tort Claim "*was not received until April 2017*" [sic]; how do you explain that painfully obvious error? Are you blind?
- (3) my timely REQUEST FOR ASSIGNMENT OF CASE NUMBER was dated and mailed to the IRS Office of Chief Counsel on **December 7, 2016**; as such, another seven and one-half MONTHS have transpired before IRS Claim No. 17-037 was assigned: if you are expecting us to believe that assignment of said Claim Number was timely, maybe you should have your head examined by a competent psychiatrist; on the Internet, please see:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.irs/letter.2016-12-07/request.for.case.number.htm>
- (4) numerous hirelings in the IRS Office of Chief Counsel have turned up with missing and/or defective APPOINTMENT AFFIDAVITS, giving cause to lodge *Mail Fraud Reports* identifying the same hirelings as probable suspects of felony impersonation and felony mail fraud;
- (5) your second ridiculous letter also confuses the formal charge of kidnapping in the first degree with abuse of process, false arrest and false imprisonment; as such, none of the latter causes of action can be lumped together as the "same incident" [sic];
- (6) the IRS Office of Chief Counsel is already implicated in acts which aided and abetted the "IRS Targeting Scandal" as it has come to be identified during Congressional hearings;

- (7) 18 U.S.C. 4 created a legal obligation in me to report felonies cognizable by a court of the United States to a judge or other person in the civil or military authority of the United States;
- (8) my IRS Tort Claim was properly referred to the Office of the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury under authority of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996; and,
- (9) the remainder of the statements in your second letter date-stamped July 17, 2017, are entirely irrelevant, spurious, misleading and totally lacking respect for my right to a prompt, expert and inexpensive means of resolving such disputes **as an alternative to litigation in the Federal courts.**

As such, it will aggravate further violations of the spirit and the letter of the latter Act of Congress to require me to spend any more time refuting any more of the patently false and misleading sentences in your second ridiculous letter.

In the spirit of fair play and honest due diligence, you are welcome to review all of the relevant documentation which we have taken great pains to assemble, organize and archive at the following Internet folder, and of which you appear to be mostly ignorant:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.irs/SF-95.htm>

The link to the Federal Tort Claim at the top of Page 1 of the latter SF-95 will direct your browser to all relevant follow-up documents:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.irs/>

Doing an honest review of all of the latter documentation will help you to understand why your second letter date-stamped July 17, 2017, has really done nothing more than to add unnecessary confusion to my well documented IRS Tort Claim #17-037.

If you were in truth committed to honoring the intent of Congress as now expressed in the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, you would have honored the NOTICE OF REFERRAL TO U.S. SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY which can be easily accessed at the Internet locations above. For example, on the Internet please see:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.irs/letter.2017-04-10/notice.of.referral.htm>
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.irs/letter.2017-04-11/referral.instructions.htm>
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.irs/letter.2017-05-05.1/notice.of.referral.htm>
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/hill/tort.claim.irs/letter.2017-05-05.2/notice.of.referral.htm>

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell

Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S., Damaged Party;
Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: [18 U.S.C. 1964](#); and,
Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),
Federal Civil False Claims Act: [31 U.S.C. 3729](#) *et seq.*
All Rights Reserved (cf. UCC 1-308
<https://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-308>)

Cc: Trustee, Estate of Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.;
Office of the President, The White House, Washington, D.C.;
Office of the U.S. Attorney General, Washington, D.C.; and,
Office of the Secretary of the Treasury, Washington, D.C.

Enclosures