

From: Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S. <[supremelawfirm@gmail.com](mailto:supremelawfirm@gmail.com)>  
Date: Thu, Feb 2, 2017 at 11:16 PM  
Subject: FORMAL COMPLAINT against *The State Bar of California*, and all 253,306 living "members" (as of February 2015)

To: [dca@dca.ca.gov](mailto:dca@dca.ca.gov)

Department of Consumer Affairs  
Consumer Information Division  
1625 North Market Blvd., Suite N 112  
Sacramento 95834  
California, USA

Attention: Executive Officers

Greetings DCA Executive Officers:

Beginning in late August 2001, my office has been investigating registered "members" of *The State Bar of California* in my capacities as a Private Attorney General under 18 U.S.C. 1964 (Civil RICO), and later as an Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4 TIMES) under 31 U.S.C. 3729 *et seq.* (Federal Civil False Claims Act).

See, for example, the list of seven (7) SUBPOENAs issued by the Federal District Court Clerk in Sacramento in the matter of Mitchell v. AOL Time Warner, Inc. et al.:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/related.subpoenas.htm>

Soon after those 7 attorneys failed to produce any valid licenses and any valid certificates of oath, as the sole Plaintiff in that case we served *The State Bar of California* with the following blanket SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/subpoena.statebar.1.gif>  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/delivery.instructions.htm>  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/subpoena.statebar.4.gif>

**No licenses to practice law, and no certificates of oath, were transmitted to the Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court, as required by the latter *Directions for Delivery*. This is still true, even today!**

We hereby formally complain that the FRONT SIDE ONLY of one (1) required license has been disclosed, and the BACK SIDE ONLY of one (1) *other* certificate of oath has been disclosed to date. Electronic copies of those two (2) sides are archived here:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/rainmaker/azar/State.Bar.License.1.landscape.JPG>  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/rainmaker/azar/nad.reverse.side.htm> (also **IN DEFAULT**)

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/customized.certificate.jpg>  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/customized.certificate.transmittal.jpg>

As such, we further complain that *The State Bar of California* are now **IN CONTEMPT** of the above blanket SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE; and, as such, given the passage of so much time after it was duly served via Registered U.S. Mail, their **CONTEMPT** of said SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE has resulted in activating estoppel against *The State Bar of California* and against all of its 253,306 reportedly living "members".

Such silence can be equated with fraud: U.S. v. Tweel; and, such silence also activates estoppel: Carmine v. Bowen. Cf. also "laches" as defined in Law Dictionaries.

Thank you for your careful and professional consideration of this FORMAL COMPLAINT.

Please also appreciate my sincere desire to reach a fair and reasonable resolution of this matter, without requiring extensive and expensive Court litigation. For example, it may be that the Office of President Trump may consent to supervise settlement negotiations, after delegation to the United States Attorney General as soon as the latter is duly confirmed by the United States Senate. The United States Secretary of the Treasury may also wish to join settlement negotiations, in light of the principal amounts now showing as payable to the Treasury of the United States in these **PAST DUE** INVOICES:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/invoice.3.htm> (\$2.25 TRILLION minus Management Fees)  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/invoice.2.htm>  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/invoice.1.htm>  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/notice.of.intent.to.invoice.htm>

For example, there is an opportunity here for settlement amounts to be paid, either in part or in full, by professional liability insurance underwriters, assuming such insurance contracts remain in force.

*Further reading:*

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/statebar/pastor.don.broadcast.outline.htm> (see all links)

p.s.

It is not wise or prudent to transfer jurisdiction of this FORMAL COMPLAINT to *The State Bar of California*, because additional research has now confirmed that it currently employs several attorneys who are likewise also "members," as registered here:

<http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/membersearch/quicksearch>

We object in advance to all such conflicts of interest.

Bcc:

United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Washington, D.C.  
Attention: The Hon. Jeff Sessions (nominated for the Office of Attorney General)

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.

Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: 18 U.S.C. 1964

<http://supremelaw.org/decs/agency/private.attorney.general.htm>

Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4 times),

Federal Civil False Claims Act: 31 U.S.C. 3729 *et seq.*:

U.S. ex rel. Madden v. General Dynamics Corp. (9th Cir.)

**All Rights Reserved** (cf. UCC 1-308 <https://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-308>)