

**Renewed Petition To Withdraw Holding Of Abandonment  
Based On Failure To Receive Office Action**

TO: Commissioner for Patents  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Attention: Kenya A. McLaughlin  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria 22313-1450  
Virginia, USA

FROM: Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.  
Inventor and Applicant

DATE: May 26, 2016 A.D.

SUBJECT: DECISION ON PETITION, Filed: April 18, 2012  
Application No. 13/506,475, Filing Date: 04/18/2012

Greetings Kenya A. McLaughlin:

I was very surprised, and I was also very discouraged, to receive your DECISION ON PETITION filed on April 18, 2012.

Attached please find a copy of my letter to the Office of Petitions, dated February 12, 2015 (more than 15 months ago).

In that letter dated February 12, 2015, I requested the status of my *Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment*; and, I repeated what I had been told by Deshawn Durham, who had emailed me to say that a decision on my *Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment* would require approximately 10 business days.

I would like to know why 10 business days expanded into 15 months. Are there any reasons why certain officers and/or employees of the USPTO might be harboring any bias or prejudice towards me?

In my *Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment*, on Page 2 I added the following paragraph:

*If, for any reason, I have not satisfied the instructions found on your Attachment to Notice of Abandonment, please respond as soon as possible with clarification(s) via email to: [mrfsys@gmail.com](mailto:mrfsys@gmail.com)*

I never received any email with clarification(s) from any officer or employee of the USPTO.

Please refer now to the following instructions on Page 2 of your DECISION ON PETITION:

*If a master docket does not exists [sic], petitioner is required to state this in the renewed petition and provide any other corroborative evidence that petitioner may have to substantiate the claim that the Notice was not received.*

As far as I know, a master docket does not exist at *Lake Union Mail*.

There is a very simple explanation why a master docket does not exist: the Owner of *Lake Union Mail* evidently decided to follow the instructions printed on your mailing envelope i.e. "*If Undeliberable Return in Ten Days*". He did so because I had been abruptly abducted and illegally incarcerated for 325 days beginning **January 28, 2014** and ending **December 19, 2014**. On the latter day, I was abruptly released, all charges dismissed, no jury trial necessary.

I do not believe that *Lake Union Mail* maintains a "master docket". Even if they did maintain a "master docket", it should reflect the fact that *Lake Union Mail* returned your Notice because the Owner had no way of knowing to what other facility(s) I had been transferred.

I do recall attempting to send first class U.S. Mail to the Owner of *Lake Union Mail* during the month of February 2014, while I was briefly detained at the Federal Detention Center at SeaTac (Seattle/Tacoma).

However, I was promptly moved to many other facilities.

In all, I was moved a total of fifty-five (55) different times during those 325 days of illegal incarceration (cf. "diesel therapy").

The frequency of those moves made it extremely difficult, if not impossible, for my Trustee to maintain regular correspondence with me, via U.S. Mail. As soon as I would arrive at a *different* facility e.g. Grady County Jail in Oklahoma, I would scrounge a stamp and mailing envelope in order to notify my Trustee of my latest move.

He would attempt to reply via U.S. Mail to me at that new location, but I would be moved again before my Trustee's U.S. Mail was delivered to the Grady County Jail. That mail was then returned to my Trustee!

The same pattern repeated itself many times.

To document those 55 separate moves, please see the attached list, consisting of one AFFIDAVIT and 2 related SUPPLEMENTS.

Further on Page 2 of your DECISION ON PETITION, please find the following instructions:

***If petitioner is a pro se applicant, petitioner may provide a copy of the file petitioner maintains containing the papers received from, and filed with, the USPTO and a copy of any calendar or journal petitioner may keep concerning the communications with the USPTO relative to the application. The calendar or journal should reflect entries of dates on which applicant sent communications to the USPTO and received communications from the USPTO. For purposes of this petition, the absence of an entry in the calendar relative to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due is evidence that petitioner did not, in fact, receive the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due.***

Yes, I am happy to say that all communications which I sent to the USPTO via U.S. Mail were archived on my primary computer workstation in the form of Microsoft WORD documents. Likewise, all communications which I received from the USPTO via U.S. Mail were also scanned and archived on the same primary computer workstation.

The following is a list of Windows sub-folders with embedded dates, showing the sequence of journal/calendar dates for each of the latter communications with the USPTO relative to my application:

Volume in drive E is PAUL15-E  
Volume Serial Number is 8833-0369

Directory of E:\patents\BayRAMFive\utility.application

05/09/2013	08:46 AM	<DIR>	correspondence.2012-05-25
05/09/2013	08:46 AM	<DIR>	correspondence.2012-05-31
05/09/2013	08:46 AM	<DIR>	correspondence.2012-09-27
05/09/2013	08:46 AM	<DIR>	correspondence.2012-10-01
05/09/2013	08:46 AM	<DIR>	correspondence. <b>2012-10-15</b>
02/12/2015	10:03 AM	<DIR>	correspondence. <b>2014-12-24</b>
05/26/2016	11:47 AM	<DIR>	correspondence.2015-01-02
05/26/2016	11:43 AM	<DIR>	correspondence.2015-02-12
05/26/2016	12:05 PM	<DIR>	correspondence.2016-05-26
0 File(s)			0 bytes
9 Dir(s)			999,709,605,888 bytes free

That computer workstation was in the custody of my Trustee almost immediately after I was abducted, until the week immediately following my release on 12/19/2014. It was held in a private storage unit, where it was not connected to electricity nor to the Internet.

As you can see, there is a very long gap between the dates **2012-10-15** and **2014-12-24**. The later date of **2014-12-24** is the date on the USPTO's NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT. For your convenience, I have **bolded** the text of both dates in the journal/calendar list above.

As you can verify above, there is **no entry in that calendar relative to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due on or about 9/15/2014.**

As such, **the absence of an entry in that journal/calendar relative to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due is evidence that I did not, in fact, receive the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due.**

I finally received for the very first time -- as an Enclosure with your DECISION ON PETITION -- a Copy of Notice of Allowance that was mailed to *Lake Union Mail* in Seattle on 09/15/2014.

And, I believe it is also of greatest importance that "*the application became abandoned on December 16, 2014*" but I was still illegally incarcerated on that day, and finally released on December 19, 2014.

**I was illegally incarcerated for all 90 days starting 9/15/2014.**

Once again, if the above information is not sufficient to withdraw the holding of abandonment, PLEASE ADVISE IMMEDIATELY.

My current mailing address is shown below: kindly update your records with same.

Thank you very much for your professional consideration.

p.s. We have the funds required to pay the \$480 NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE fee, and we intend to remit it promptly upon receipt of confirmation that the USPTO has withdrawn the Holding of Abandonment.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell

Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.  
Inventor and Systems Development Consultant

Cc: Trustees, Estate of Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.; and,  
Owner, *Lake Union Mail*, Seattle, Washington State, USA

U.S. Mail:

**Supreme Law Firm  
c/o Trustees  
1224 N.E. Walnut, #257  
Roseburg 97470  
Oregon, USA**

***Enclosures:***

Paul Andrew Mitchell's Moves Since 1/28/2014, by AFFIDAVIT

SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT OF MOVES #29 THRU #42

SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT OF MOVES #43 THRU #55

The Case for Sanctions against L. Robert Murray, Mark C. Hardee *et al.*

ROUTINE REQUEST FOR STATUS OF PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF  
ABANDONMENT (February 12, 2015 A.D.)

Petition to Withdraw Holding Of Abandonment Based On Failure To  
Receive Office Action (January 2, 2015 A.D.)