



Paul Andrew Mitchell <supremelawfirm@gmail.com>

---

**CONFIDENTIAL: Why no law enforcement by Douglas County Sheriffs of probable Class C felonies?**

---

Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S. <supremelawfirm@gmail.com>

Fri, Jun 12, 2020  
at 1:08 PM

To: gklopfenstein@cityofroseburg.org  
Cc: jchavez@cityofroseburg.org

Greetings again, Chief of Police:

Please see Page 1 of this report in *The Roseburg Beacon*:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/DoCo/risk.management/DAVID%20JAQUES%20-%20County%20Assessor%20Uncovers%2014%20Million.pdf>

*"... rather than have one county office such as the Sheriff's Department or D.A.'s office investigate another county department."*

It was never explained to me why the Sheriff's Department should NOT investigate another county department, particularly when probable cause of felony crimes has been discovered and duly reported to the County Sheriffs.

One of the Sheriff's primary responsibilities is the maintenance of security in the Douglas County Courthouse and adjacent administrative offices.

After transmitting numerous email messages to Sergeant Brad O'Dell, we have **never once** received *any* reply(s) nor *any* courteous acknowledgments:

[http://www.dcsso.com/staff\\_N.asp](http://www.dcsso.com/staff_N.asp)

We have also attempted to notify numerous Oregon State government officials; but, the only responses we received were from the *Public Records Advocate* and from the *Oregon Department of Revenue*. **Both correctly identified the County Clerk as the designated legal custodian of the required NOTICES OF BUDGET HEARING.**

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/DoCo/advocate/>  
<http://supremelaw.org/cc/DoCo/ODOR/> (cited *Oregon Local Budget Law*)

The Oregon Department of Justice has fallen conspicuously silent.

We do not believe we were ever being unreasonable to expect some sort of reply and/or a simple "thanks" from Sheriff Hanlin, after we did all the work required to research and prepare the following MEMO:

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/DoCo/sheriff/>

<http://supremelaw.org/cc/DoCo/sheriff/letter.2019-09-01/memo.htm>

If we had committed any serious error(s) in the latter MEMO, it certainly would have been timely and appropriate for the Sheriffs to point out any such error.

Their silence is one way of saying, or implying, "No objections."

Assuming the above quote from *The Roseburg Beacon* is close to the truth, and in light of the total lack of *any* reply(s) or response(s) from *anyone* employed by the Douglas County Sheriffs Department, I now believe that [our investigation of the "missing millions" now justifies an official investigation by the Roseburg Police Department.](#)

The Oregon Revised Statutes define forgery of a "public record" as a Class C felony.

Those Oregon State laws now authorize you to commence and proceed with a formal criminal investigation.

The current Douglas County Clerk should be interrogated, and the legal notice staff at *The News-Review* should be questioned concerning the **PAST DUE** *Public Records Request*.

The County D.A. has a legal obligation to enforce an overdue *Public Records Request*, but he has also fallen silent.

I do not know, as a matter of law, if *The News-Review* is subject to any legal obligation to retain electronic copies of legal notices, which they are required to publish in printed versions of that newspaper.

I do believe the Douglas County Clerk is subject to records retention practices imposed by Oregon laws.

If the requested records have been destroyed,  
the Roseburg Police Department will most  
surely need to know that!

If your office needs me to execute a formal COMPLAINT,  
please advise, preferably with a blank form in **.pdf** format.

Thank you, again, for your professional consideration.

--

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Paul Andrew Mitchell, B.A., M.S.

Private Attorney General, Civil RICO: 18 U.S.C. 1964;

Agent of the United States as *Qui Tam* Relator (4X),

Federal Civil False Claims Act: 31 U.S.C. 3729 *et seq.*

All Rights Reserved ( cf. UCC 1-308 <https://www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-308> )